

**EXHIBIT 1**  
**Redacted Version of**  
**Document Sought to**  
**be Sealed**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

-----  
)  
CHASOM BROWN, WILLIAM BYATT, ) CASE NO.:  
JEREMY DAVIS, CHRISTOPHER )  
CASTILLO, and MONIQUE TRUJILLO, ) 5:20-cv-03664-  
individually and on behalf of ) LHK-SVK  
all other similarly situated, )  
)  
Plaintiffs, )  
)  
v. )  
)  
GOOGLE, LLC, )  
)  
Defendant. )  
-----

DEPOSITION OF GLENN BERNTSON  
VOLUME I  
REMOTELY IN LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA  
FRIDAY, MARCH 18, 2022

REPORTED BY: NATALIE PARVIZI-AZAD, CSR, RPR, RSR  
CSR NO. 14125  
JOB NO.: 5142481

CHASOM BROWN, WILLIAM BYATT,  
JEREMY DAVIS, CHRISTOPHER  
CASTILLO, AND MONIQUE TRUJILLO,  
INDIVIDUALLY AND ON BEHALF OF  
ALL OTHER SIMILARLY SITUATED,  
  
Plaintiffs,  
  
v.  
  
GOOGLE, LLC,  
  
Defendant.

Page 2

1       you just said about PPID never being linked to  
2       any ID.

3               So how does that differ from a  
4       PPID-mapped Biscotti? What's the difference in  
5       how you're using the word linked from mapped       14:39:47  
6       there?

7               A. No, what I described is that its  
8       representation in different parts of the system  
9       will change, but it's still logically the same  
10       ID. And what I mean by that is the PPID, when       14:40:01  
11       a publisher passes us this thing to represent  
12       their user, it comes in as a string. And when  
13       we receive this value, we hash it, and then we  
14       take the hashed value, the hashed string  
15       provided by the publisher, we then add to it       14:40:28  
16       the network ID of the publisher.

17               And so with this, we have sort of what  
18       is, to the publisher, a unique representation  
19       of the user. And when we store it, we store it  
20       with the publisher identify -- the publisher       14:40:48  
21       network code, which is unique to that  
22       publisher. And when this comes in the first  
23       time, we generate a new random number, and we  
24       associate that random number -- which is an  
25       integer -- and we store it alongside what we       14:41:06

1 get from the publisher.

2 Now, internally, all of Google's  
3 systems for when we're building profiles, or  
4 doing frequency capping, we always expect a  
5 user ID to be an integer. Externally, if a 14:41:20  
6 publisher is generating a PPID from an e-mail  
7 address, an e-mail address is not a number.  
8 And if they then hash it, they hash it into,  
9 say, another string, which is an opaque  
10 reputation with a one-way mapping from the 14:41:41  
11 prior string, and pass that to us.

12 We have to somehow represent that  
13 string as an integer. So the way we do that is  
14 by creating what's called a mapping table. And  
15 what the mapping table is is it allows us to 14:42:00  
16 have a string reputation that comes from a  
17 publisher, come into our system, that we then  
18 add the publisher ID.

19 And we add the publisher ID to make  
20 sure that, if two different publishers have the 14:42:13  
21 same e-mail address and they happen to use the  
22 same hashing function, it is possible that two  
23 different publishers can pass us what -- a PPID  
24 value that's the same for the same user. But  
25 we explicitly partition these IDS by a 14:42:31

1 publisher. And the way in we partition them is  
2 we store the value they send us along with the  
3 publisher ID.

4 And so, whenever we're looking up a  
5 PPID, when we receive an ad request with a 14:42:40  
6 value from the publisher, we look up the  
7 concatenation of their string representation of  
8 the user and the network ID.

9 Now, to represent that as a number  
10 internally in our systems, that's what the 14:42:56  
11 mapping table is. When you say a "mapped  
12 Biscotti," this is problem with names. And  
13 that is, "Biscotti" was originally named for  
14 the internal system we use to generate random  
15 numbers. And that internal system that 14:43:13  
16 generated a random integer is also what we  
17 happen to call the cookie that we publish  
18 client-side. Our internal systems that use  
19 these integers -- because the system generates  
20 the random number itself is a Biscotti ID 14:43:36  
21 generator, even though it's really just  
22 generating a random number, the name here is a  
23 mapped Biscotti ID. That mapped Biscotti ID is  
24 never present external to our systems. It's  
25 only the internal representation of exactly the 14:43:57

1 value passed by the publisher. So it's not  
2 (indiscernible) with another one. It's the  
3 same ID, in a different form, internal to our  
4 systems.

5 Q. Where do these mapping tables sit? 14:44:06

6 A. I believe they are stored in [REDACTED] now.  
7 They were originally stored in [REDACTED].

8 Q. So with respect to a PPID or using  
9 that -- the other term, a PPID-mapped Biscotti,  
10 how does Google view that, if at all, in 14:44:51  
11 connection with that conversion tracking?

12 A. So we don't, really. And here is the  
13 thing. Conversion tracking is an advertiser  
14 feature. So an advertiser comes to DV3 or --  
15 or Google Ads and says, "I want to set up a 14:45:31  
16 campaign" or "I want to see how many clicks I  
17 get or how many conversions I make." And so,  
18 that's an attribute of the advertiser. And the  
19 thing is, you need to be able to sort of link  
20 how a user interacts with an ad to then what 14:45:48  
21 happens after the user has interacted with the  
22 ad. That's conversions. And so, it's really  
23 fundamentally an advertiser concept.

24 PPID doesn't help with conversions  
25 because the ID only exists on the publisher's 14:46:06

1 client side, which means we wouldn't see them  
2 server-side, they'll go stale, and we'll just  
3 delete all the data.

4 Q. I want to make sure I understand what  
5 you were saying on the -- not on the client 16:38:56  
6 side, but on the Google side.

7 A. Uh-huh.

8 Q. If a user browses an Incognito  
9 session, closes the session without having  
10 signed in, is it your testimony that, within 16:39:13  
11 30 days, you believe, Google will delete from  
12 Google's servers or its server logs all of the  
13 data that Google collected during that  
14 Incognito browsing session?

15 A. It's 30 or 60 days. 16:39:35

16 MR. ANSORGE: Objection. Vague and  
17 mischaracterizes prior testimony.

18 THE WITNESS: What will happen is the  
19 ID, after having not been seen for that amount  
20 of time, will trigger something called wipeout. 16:39:48  
21 Wipeout is a functionality inside Google's ad  
22 serving systems -- and it's actually broader  
23 across Google, but I'll just focus on the ad  
24 serving side, which is the only place Biscotti  
25 exists -- when wipeout is triggered for a 16:40:08



1 Biscotti cookie, all of the data -- all of the  
2 presence of that Biscotti cookie are, within  
3 logs, anonymized. And all of the data that is  
4 stored, for example, in [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] that is  
5 specifically keyed off of that ID is deleted. 16:40:26

6 So after that ID goes stale, wipeout  
7 is triggered. And wipeout is common  
8 functionality that we support for all of our  
9 IDs. Imagine you were still in the Incognito  
10 session, and you see an ad that was served to 16:40:45  
11 you, and, "Oh, I was looking at shoes on this  
12 other site, and now I'm seeing an advertisement  
13 for shoes," there is an ad choices icon in the  
14 top right of the ad. And even in the Incognito  
15 session, if you click on that, you'll see that 16:41:03  
16 there's basically an opportunity to opt out of  
17 personalized ads.

18 Even in the Incognito session, if you  
19 opt out of personalized ads, that will  
20 basically send a message to Google to say, 16:41:18  
21 "Trigger wipeout for this ID." We try to make  
22 it really easy for users who say, "Delete my  
23 data" to delete their data. And it's even  
24 easier if they were signed in, but this is a  
25 non-signed-in incognito session, so even within 16:41:38

1 the session, the user can delete all the  
2 date -- delete all of the data associated with  
3 that. But if they haven't taken that explicit  
4 step and they just closed the session, because  
5 the ID goes stale, we wait for verification 16:41:51  
6 that this ID isn't used for its 30 or 60 days,  
7 then we trigger the same process that we would  
8 as if they opted out of personalization.

9 BY MS. BONN:

10 Q. So in the instance where a user 16:42:08  
11 browses Incognito, does not sign into Google,  
12 closes the Incognito browsing session, I think  
13 you said after 30 days, the Biscotti cookie is  
14 anonymized and then all of the data that was  
15 keyed off of that ID in [REDACTED] is deleted. 16:42:30

16 Did I have that right?

17 A. Yeah.

18 MR. ANSORGE: Objection.  
19 Mischaracterizes prior testimony.

20 THE WITNESS: Yeah. All of the data 16:42:39  
21 and all of the references to the Biscotti ID  
22 and the logs is anonymized. All of the data  
23 that is explicitly keyed off of that ID, for  
24 example, in [REDACTED], all of those entries are  
25 deleted. 16:42:58

1 BY MS. BONN:

2 Q. What do you mean when you say all of  
3 the references to the Biscotti ID are  
4 anonymized?

5 A. Basically, a bunch of events that then 16:43:24  
6 get written into storage. And you can think of  
7 it as a stream of information that's somewhat  
8 structured in terms of all of these events. We  
9 don't delete events from the logs because then  
10 we wouldn't have a full record of, say, the 16:43:39  
11 fact an ad request occurred.

12 And instead, anonymization is where if  
13 there is an ID in one of these entries that's  
14 inside a log, we will then encrypt it and throw  
15 away the key, which means you can't actually 16:43:57  
16 find it anymore because the value that's stored  
17 there doesn't match what it was previously, and  
18 because we transformed it using, say,  
19 encryption and thrown away the key, there is no  
20 way to get the data back. So it's effectively 16:44:12  
21 anonymized. The thing that is valuable for  
22 that is it allows us to see that, "Oh, these  
23 two entries are still associated with the same  
24 entity, but we can't map that entity to  
25 anything anymore." 16:44:27